

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
11 Joyce Dempsey,
12 Plaintiff,
13 v.
14 Raley's, et al.,
15 Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-02300-KJM-DB

16 Joyce Dempsey, et al.,
17 Plaintiffs,
18 v.
19 Raley's, et al.,
20 Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-02354-KJM-DB

21 Joyce Dempsey, et al.,
22 Plaintiffs,
23 v.
24 Raley's, et al.,
25 Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-01506-TLN-KJN

26 Joyce Dempsey,
27 Plaintiff,
28 v.
Raley's, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-01507-TLN-KJN
RELATED CASE ORDER

1 Examination of the four actions in the caption above reveals they are related within the
2 meaning of Local Rule 123(a). The assignment of these matters to the same judge is likely to
3 effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be convenient for the parties.

4 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions to be
5 assigned to the same judge—it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related cases
6 are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
7 assigned.

8 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that Case Nos. 2:22-cv-01506-TLN-KJN and 2:22-cv-
9 01507-TLN-KJN are reassigned from Judge Troy L. Nunley and Magistrate Judge Kendall J.
10 Newman to the undersigned and to Magistrate Judge Deborah Barnes. The caption on documents
11 filed in the reassigned cases shall use case numbers 2:22-cv-01506-KJM-DB and 2:22-cv-01507-
12 KJM-DB.

13 It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the
14 assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED: August 30, 2023.


CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE